



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS

Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed Edition :

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume 2 Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

IJLRA

EDITORIAL TEAM

EDITORS



Megha Middha

Megha Middha, Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshargarh, Sikar

Megha Middha, is working as an Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshargarh, Sikar (Rajasthan). She has an experience in the teaching of almost 3 years. She has completed her graduation in BBA LL.B (H) from Amity University, Rajasthan (Gold Medalist) and did her post-graduation (LL.M in Business Laws) from NLSIU, Bengaluru. Currently, she is enrolled in a Ph.D. course in the Department of Law at Mohanlal Sukhadia University, Udaipur (Rajasthan). She wishes to excel in academics and research and contribute as much as she can to society. Through her interactions with the students, she tries to inculcate a sense of deep thinking power in her students and enlighten and guide them to the fact how they can bring a change to the society

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board



Dr. Namita Jain



Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.

Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi.(2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019

Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr. Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8 Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1 Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.



Avinash Kumar



Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC - NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR - Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

"HARMONY IN PERIL: UNMASKING THE THREAT POSED BY HATE SPEECH IN INDIA"

AUTHORED BY – MOHD NABEEL HUSSAIN & AAWEZ RAJPUT

E-Mail Id – Hussainnabeel550@Gmail.Com And Rajputaawez1453@Gmail.Com

Mobile No- [9069398071](tel:9069398071) And [9871526423](tel:9871526423)

Institution – Jamia Millia Islamia

B.A.LL.B (Hons)

4th Year

ABSTRACT

Hate speech has emerged as a significant socio-political concern in India, rooted in its complex historical context and contributing to communal tensions, violence, and discrimination. This comprehensive study delves into the multifaceted aspects of hate speech in the Indian context. It examines the historical origins of hate speech, defines hate speech within the Indian context, and explores its interconnection with communal tensions. The study scrutinizes instances where hate speech escalates into violence and evaluates the challenges within the existing legal framework designed to address such speech.

The role of media as an amplifier of hate speech is critically analyzed, shedding light on the media's influence on the spread and intensification of hate. The psychological impact of hate speech on individuals and communities is explored, highlighting the profound consequences on mental health and social cohesion. The experiences of minority groups and their encounters with discrimination provide valuable insights into the real-world implications of hate speech.

In response to the rise of hate speech, the study examines the role of education and awareness campaigns as countermeasures to foster tolerance and understanding. An international comparative analysis contextualizes India's approach to hate speech and its consequences within the global framework. Furthermore, the study assesses how hate speech impacts social harmony and national unity, emphasizing the necessity of addressing this issue to preserve a cohesive society.

Several case laws are discussed to illustrate legal perspectives on hate speech, including notable instances during the COVID-19 pandemic and the Tablighi Jamaat case, as well as incitement and mobilization during the Delhi riots. These cases provide insights into the complexities of hate speech and its connection to violence and unrest.

By analyzing historical roots, legal frameworks, media influence, psychological impact, minority experiences, countermeasures, and the broader societal implications, this study offers a comprehensive understanding of hate speech in India. Ultimately, it underscores the urgency of collective efforts to counter hate speech, foster social harmony, and promote national unity in a diverse and dynamic society.

Keywords: Hate speech, India, communal tensions, legal framework, media influence, psychological impact, minority experiences, education and awareness, social harmony, national unity, case laws, COVID-19 pandemic.

INTRODUCTION

In the intricate tapestry of India's societal landscape, characterized by its rich cultural diversity and centuries-old coexistence of various communities, the notion of harmony has been a cornerstone. The fusion of languages, religions, traditions, and identities has woven a fabric that, for generations, has embraced differences and celebrated pluralism. However, in recent times, this harmony stands threatened by an insidious force that undermines the very foundations of unity – hate speech. This paper delves into the pressing issue of hate speech in India, unmasking the threat it poses to the delicate equilibrium of social harmony.¹

The concept of harmony transcends the mere absence of conflict; it encompasses the respectful coexistence, shared understanding, and symbiotic growth of diverse communities. Yet, hate speech, characterized by vitriolic language aimed at demeaning, discriminating, and inciting hostility against particular groups, has infiltrated public discourse, corroding the bonds that hold India's pluralistic society together. With the proliferation of digital platforms, hate speech has found new avenues to propagate, blurring the lines between the virtual and the real. As hate speech echoes through the digital realm, its consequences ripple into the physical world, fanning the

¹ *Hate Speech Module Draft 5_2_*, (Apr. 23, 2012), https://www.jmi.ac.in/upload/menuupload/11_ccmg_hatespeech.pdf.

flames of discord, undermining social cohesion, and threatening the very essence of India's unity in diversity.

This paper seeks to navigate the multifaceted landscape of hate speech in India, peeling back the layers to expose its origins, manifestations, and impacts. It delves into historical undercurrents, cultural sensitivities, and technological advancements that shape the prevalence of hate speech. By exploring the legal framework and challenges of regulating hate speech, as well as analyzing media's role in perpetuating or countering it, this paper aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the complex issue at hand.

As we delve into the subsequent sections, the unmasking of hate speech's threat to harmony becomes progressively evident. The paper also underscores the importance of countermeasures, awareness initiatives, and international comparisons to inform potential solutions. Through this exploration, the hope is to illuminate the path towards reclaiming the essence of harmonious coexistence that has been an intrinsic part of India's identity.

In the following sections, we will traverse the historical roots, legal dimensions, media's influence, societal impacts, and avenues of mitigation, all in the pursuit of unraveling the intricacies of hate speech in India. In doing so, we aim to shed light on the challenges, but also on the collective potential to confront this menace and restore the harmony that is undeniably at peril.

HISTORICAL ROOTS OF HATE SPEECH IN INDIA

The historical roots of hate speech in India can be traced back through centuries of complex interactions between religious and cultural communities. Pivotal events and enduring conflicts have contributed to the emergence and perpetuation of hate speech, impacting communal relations and shaping the sociocultural landscape of the nation.²

Colonial Manipulation and Divide-and-Rule Tactics: During the British colonial era, the policy of "divide and rule" was employed to maintain control over India. The British rulers exploited existing religious differences and fueled tensions between communities for their own political advantage. This manipulation had profound implications for intergroup relations, fostering mistrust and sowing the seeds of discord that would later contribute to hate speech dynamics.

² Cecilia Jacob, 'Kill Two Million of Them': Institutionalised Hate Speech, Impunity and 21st Century Atrocities in India, *Global Responsibility to Protect* 15 (2023) 209–245 3 - 7 (2023).

Religious Conflicts and Historical Events: Centuries of religious conflicts, ranging from the Mughal conquests to Hindu-Muslim conflicts, have left a lasting impact on communal relations. These events often served as catalysts for the formation of deeply ingrained stereotypes and prejudices. The memory of past conflicts and the narratives surrounding them continue to influence hate speech targeting religious minorities.

Partition of India and Communal Violence: The partition of India in 1947, accompanied by widespread communal violence and forced migrations, marked a turning point in the history of religious relations. The traumatic experiences during this period left deep scars and fueled resentments among different religious communities. These divisions continue to manifest in hate speech, as narratives of victimhood and persecution persist.

Post-Independence Communal Clashes: The post-independence era witnessed sporadic instances of communal clashes that exacerbated existing tensions. Events like the anti-Sikh riots in 1984 and the Babri Masjid demolition in 1992 fueled animosities between religious groups. Hate speech often surged during these periods of unrest, intensifying the adversarial narratives.

Ethnic and Caste-Based Conflicts: India's sociocultural landscape is also marked by conflicts along ethnic and caste lines. These conflicts often intersect with religious tensions, further complicating the dynamics of hate speech. The deeply ingrained hierarchical structures and historical grievances associated with caste continue to influence intergroup relations.

Legacy of Religious Conversion and Missionary Activities: Historical instances of religious conversion and missionary activities have sometimes been exploited to fuel stereotypes and suspicions among different religious groups. These historical narratives, distorted and weaponized over time, contribute to the emergence of hate speech that targets religious minorities.

Influence of Literary and Artistic Expressions: Literature, art, and media have historically played a role in shaping communal perceptions. Certain narratives perpetuated through these mediums have contributed to the reinforcement of stereotypes and the propagation of hate speech. Artistic expressions have the power to amplify divisive narratives and further erode communal harmony.

Formation of Identity and Perceptions: The historical narratives of conflicts, conversions, and interactions have contributed to the formation of religious and communal identities. These

identities, often constructed in opposition to others, can amplify tensions and create fertile ground for hate speech to flourish.

DEFINING HATE SPEECH IN THE INDIAN CONTEXT³

Defining hate speech within the Indian legal and sociocultural framework is a delicate balancing act that seeks to preserve freedom of expression while preventing harm and maintaining social harmony. This definition encapsulates both the legal boundaries and the broader impact of hate speech in a diverse society like India.

Comprehensive Definition within the Indian Legal Framework:

Hate speech in India refers to any form of expression that advocates violence, hostility, discrimination, or prejudice against individuals or groups based on attributes such as religion, race, caste, ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, or language. It encompasses verbal, written, or symbolic communications that degrade, vilify, or marginalize certain segments of the population, infringing upon their dignity and rights. This definition finds its roots in constitutional principles that protect citizens' rights while also ensuring communal harmony and preventing social divisions.

Indian Penal Code (IPC) and Relevant Sections: The Indian Penal Code addresses hate speech through various sections, reflecting the nation's commitment to curbing harmful expressions. Section 153A⁴ prohibits promoting enmity between different groups on grounds of religion, race, or language. Section 295A⁵ targets deliberate acts to insult religious beliefs and incite religious feelings. These legal provisions underscore the importance of responsible expression that respects diversity and minimizes the potential for conflict.

Distinguishing Hate Speech from Legitimate Free Expression⁶

Hate speech stands apart from legitimate free expression through distinct attributes:

³ *Defining Hate Speech*, (May 26, 2021), <https://www.drishtias.com/daily-news-analysis/defining-hate-speech>.

⁴ <https://indiankanoon.org/doc/345634/>.

⁵ *India Code: Section Details*, https://www.indiacode.nic.in/show-data?actid=AC_CEN_5_23_00037_186045_1523266765688&orderno=331.

⁶ Julia Kapelańska-Pręgowska, *Freedom of Expression and Hate Speech: Human Rights Standards and Their Application in Poland and Slovenia*, *Freedom of Expression and Hate Speech: Human Right* <https://www.mdpi.com/2075-471X/12/4/64>.

1. **Incitement to Harm:** Hate speech transcends mere opinions and intends to incite violence or harm towards a specific group. It is a catalyst for discrimination, often leading to real-world consequences such as violence or marginalization.
2. **Intent to Divide:** Unlike legitimate expression that encourages dialogue, hate speech aims to create division and animosity. It seeks to establish one group's dominance by perpetuating stereotypes and biases.
3. **Violation of Dignity:** Hate speech infringes on the dignity and equality of individuals. It targets vulnerable groups, subjecting them to degradation and prejudice.
4. **Disruption of Harmony:** Legitimate expression contributes to healthy societal discourse, while hate speech disrupts social harmony by breeding mistrust and hostility.
5. **Impact on Rights:** Hate speech infringes upon fundamental rights, including dignity, equality, and life. It creates an environment where the exercise of these rights becomes restricted.

Sociocultural Context: In India's sociocultural milieu, hate speech carries profound implications due to its diversity. Hate speech can ignite communal tensions, reinforce social hierarchies, and impede the pursuit of harmonious coexistence. Given India's pluralistic tapestry, preventing hate speech is integral to nurturing national unity and fostering an environment of mutual respect.

COMMUNAL TENSIONS AND HATE SPEECH NEXUS

The interplay between hate speech and communal tensions in India is a critical concern, as hate speech has often been a catalyst for escalating conflicts and perpetuating divisions within the society. Investigating this nexus sheds light on the dangerous consequences of irresponsible expression and the imperative of promoting unity and understanding.

Relationship between Hate Speech and Communal Tensions:

Hate speech and communal tensions share a symbiotic relationship, where hate speech exacerbates existing communal fault lines and, in turn, communal tensions provide a breeding ground for hate speech to flourish.

1. **Amplification of Prejudices:** Hate speech reinforces existing prejudices and stereotypes within communities. By targeting specific religious, ethnic, or cultural groups, it fuels suspicions and mistrust.
2. **Escalation of Conflicts:** Hate speech often acts as a trigger, escalating latent tensions into full-blown conflicts. It can ignite communal clashes, vandalism, and violence, further deepening

communal divides.

3. Polarization of Society: The propagation of hate speech polarizes society along religious or ethnic lines. This polarization hampers social cohesion and hinders efforts towards harmonious coexistence.

4. Breakdown of Social Fabric: Communities, previously coexisting peacefully, can become embroiled in antagonism due to the divisive narratives perpetuated by hate speech. This breakdown weakens the social fabric of the nation.

INSTANCES OF HATE SPEECH ESCALATING INTO VIOLENCE

Several instances in India's history underscore the perilous connection between hate speech and violence:

1. 1984 Anti-Sikh Riots and Hate Speech Nexus⁷: The 1984 anti-Sikh riots in Delhi followed the assassination of Prime Minister Indira Gandhi by her Sikh bodyguards. What began as a tragic event took a darker turn as hate speech played a role in igniting violence against the Sikh community.

2. Babri Masjid Demolition (1992)⁸: Hate speech surrounding the Babri Masjid demolition exemplifies how provocative rhetoric can lead to large-scale violence. The aftermath saw widespread communal riots and destruction.

3. Kashmiri Pandit Exodus⁹: The exodus of Kashmiri Pandits from the Kashmir Valley in the late 1980s and early 1990s is a complex situation influenced by political, social, and religious factors. Hate speech played a role in the Kashmiri Pandit exodus, as militants and extremist groups used threats and intimidation to force them to leave. Pamphlets, announcements, and threats were employed to create an environment of fear and insecurity.

4. Gujarat Riots (2002)¹⁰: Hate speech played a significant role in the Gujarat riots, where incendiary rhetoric contributed to the outbreak of violence between Hindu and Muslim

⁷ Times Of India, *Anti-Sikh riots 'happened in 1984, so what?' says Sam Pitroda*, Times of India (May 10, 2019), <https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/india/anti-sikh-riots-happened-in-1984-so-what-says-sam-pitroda/articleshow/69260186.cms>.

⁸ *Hindi Radio News: Daily Hindi Radio News Online*, Navbharat Gold <https://navbharattimes.indiatimes.com/navbharatgold/day-today/how-the-babri-structure-fell-on-6-december-1992/story/77314771.cms>.

⁹ Prabhaskar K Dutta, *What happened before the exodus of Kashmiri Pandits | Deep Dive*, India Today (Mar. 24, 2022), <https://www.indiatoday.in/news-analysis/story/before-exodus-of-kashmiri-pandits-1928582-2022-03-23>.

¹⁰ Times Of India, *2002 Gujarat Riots*, Times of India (Apr. 24, 2023), <https://m.timesofindia.com/miscellaneous/2002-gujarat-riots/articleshow/60732493.cms>.

communities. Hate speech circulated through various mediums, leading to tragic loss of life and property.

5. Assam Riots (2012)¹¹: Hate speech targeting migrants and minority communities, particularly Bengali Muslims, contributed to communal tensions and violence in Assam. The inflammatory language used in speeches exacerbated the situation.

6. Muzaffarnagar Riots (2013)¹²: Hate speech, often disseminated through social media and public gatherings, escalated tensions between Hindu and Muslim communities in Muzaffarnagar. The violence that followed resulted in a wave of displacement and suffering.

7. Delhi Riots (2020) and Hate Speech Nexus¹³: The Delhi riots of 2020 serve as a distressing example of how hate speech can escalate into violence and communal conflicts. The riots erupted in northeastern parts of Delhi, primarily affecting Muslim-majority neighborhoods, and resulted in loss of lives, displacement, and destruction.

LEGAL FRAMEWORK AND CHALLENGES: HATE SPEECH LAWS IN INDIA¹⁴

The legal framework surrounding hate speech in India aims to strike a balance between safeguarding freedom of expression and curbing expressions that incite violence, discrimination, or hostility. However, the enforcement of hate speech laws is often met with challenges that require careful consideration.

Legal Provisions Related to Hate Speech:

Indian Penal Code (IPC): Sections such as 153A and 295A of the IPC address hate speech. Section 153A pertains to promoting enmity between different religious, racial, or linguistic groups. Section 295A targets acts that outrage religious feelings by insulting or defiling a religion or its religious beliefs.

Contempt of Court Act: The Act seeks to prevent any act that scandalizes or lowers the authority

¹¹ *Assam Riots 2012: A saga of death and denial*, (July 30, 2012), <https://swarajyamag.com/current-affairs/assam-riots-2012-a-saga-of-death-and-denial>.

¹² *2013 Muzaffarnagar riots cases | Eight years on, over 1,100 acquitted, just seven convicted*, *The Hindu* (Sept. 7, 2021), <https://www.thehindu.com/news/national/other-states/2013-muzaffarnagar-riots-cases-eight-years-on-over-1100-acquitted-just-seven-convicted/article36332900.ece>.

¹³ Sanjay Sharma, *Delhi riots: Fresh notices to Gandhis, Anurag Thakur, Kapil Mishra in hate speech case*, *India Today* (Mar. 22, 2022), <https://www.indiatoday.in/cities/delhi/story/delhi-riots-fresh-notices-gandhis-anurag-thakur-kapil-mishra-hate-speech-case-1928161-2022-03-22>.

¹⁴ *Issue of Hate speech*, NEXT IAS <https://www.nextias.com/current-affairs/14-01-2023/issue-of-hate-speech>.

of the court. While not exclusively aimed at hate speech, it does have implications for maintaining respect in public discourse.

Information Technology Act (2000): Section 66A¹⁵ of this Act dealt with online hate speech but was struck down by the Supreme Court in 2015 for being overly broad and vague.

Constitutional Provisions: Articles 19(1)(a) and 19(2)¹⁶ of the Constitution grant citizens the right to freedom of speech and expression, subject to reasonable restrictions in the interest of public order, decency, and morality.

Effectiveness and Challenges¹⁷:

Ambiguity in Legal Language: The language of hate speech laws can be vague and open to interpretation. This ambiguity can create challenges in distinguishing between legitimate criticism and hate speech, potentially infringing on free expression rights.

Selective Enforcement: There are instances where hate speech is not uniformly addressed. The perception of selective enforcement can undermine trust in the legal system and lead to further division.

Digital Realm Challenges: Hate speech often finds a platform on social media and online spaces, where it can spread rapidly. Monitoring and regulating such platforms while respecting free speech rights pose significant challenges.

Political Interference: Hate speech can sometimes be politically motivated. Political considerations can influence the decision to take action against hate speech, making enforcement inconsistent.

Cultural Sensitivities: Hate speech often targets religious, caste, or ethnic groups. In a diverse country like India, balancing cultural sensitivities with freedom of expression becomes a complex task.

¹⁵ *India Code: Section Details*, https://www.indiacode.nic.in/show-data?actid=AC_CEN_45_76_00001_200021_1517807324077&orderno=77.

¹⁶ *Constitution of India-Freedom of speech and expression*, <https://www.legalserviceindia.com/legal/article-572-constitution-of-india-freedom-of-speech-and-expression.html>.

¹⁷ *Hate Speech in India- Causes, Impacts, Way forward*, UPSC Notes (Sept. 2, 2020), <https://www.iasexpress.net/hate-speech-in-india-causes-impacts-way-forward/>.

Impact on Social Media Companies: The involvement of international social media companies in cases of hate speech complicates enforcement due to jurisdictional challenges and differing standards.

Lack of Awareness: Many individuals may not fully understand the legal boundaries of hate speech. This lack of awareness can lead to inadvertent violations and uneven enforcement.

MEDIA'S ROLE IN AMPLIFYING HATE SPEECH IN INDIA

The media landscape in India, comprising both traditional and digital platforms, plays a significant role in amplifying hate speech. Traditional media outlets, such as newspapers and television, can inadvertently contribute to the spread of hate speech by sensationalizing divisive narratives for higher viewership or readership. Similarly, digital platforms, including social media and online forums, have provided hate speech with a rapid and widespread means of dissemination.¹⁸

Traditional Media: Traditional media in India can inadvertently amplify hate speech through sensational reporting. By focusing on provocative content and providing undue prominence to extremist viewpoints, traditional media can inadvertently lend legitimacy to hate speech. Ethical responsibilities for countering this phenomenon include balanced reporting, contextualizing news stories, and avoiding the amplification of harmful narratives.

Digital Platforms: Digital platforms have amplified hate speech in India by enabling its swift propagation. Algorithms that prioritize personalized content can create echo chambers that reinforce existing biases. Furthermore, online anonymity empowers individuals to express hate speech without accountability. The virality of digital content accelerates the reach and impact of hate speech.

Ethical Responsibilities: Media outlets in India have ethical responsibilities in countering hate speech. This involves a commitment to responsible reporting, avoiding sensationalism, and fact-checking information before dissemination. Given the potential harm caused by hate speech,

¹⁸ Akriti Gaur, *Moderate Globally Impact Locally: Tackling Social Media's Hate Speech Problem in India*, Yale Law School (Sept. 28, 2020), <https://law.yale.edu/moderate-globally-impact-locally-tackling-social-medias-hate-speech-problem-india>.

media should prioritize accuracy and a diverse range of perspectives to foster informed public discourse.

In conclusion, the Indian media's role in amplifying hate speech is a complex issue involving both traditional and digital platforms. By upholding ethical responsibilities and promoting responsible journalism, the media can contribute to countering hate speech and cultivating a more inclusive and harmonious society.

PSYCHOLOGICAL IMPACT OF HATE SPEECH

Hate speech has profound psychological effects on both targeted individuals and the communities to which they belong. Understanding these effects is essential for comprehending the broader societal implications of hate speech.¹⁹

Effects on Targeted Individuals:

Hate speech can cause significant emotional distress for those who are targeted. Individuals subjected to hate speech may experience:

- Anxiety and Fear: Hate speech can create an atmosphere of fear and apprehension, causing targeted individuals to constantly worry about their safety.
- Depression: Persistent exposure to derogatory language and hostility can lead to feelings of sadness, hopelessness, and low self-esteem.
- Psychological Trauma: Extreme forms of hate speech can trigger trauma, leading to symptoms like flashbacks, avoidance behaviors, and hypervigilance.
- Social Isolation: Fear of encountering hate speech may lead individuals to withdraw from social interactions to protect themselves from emotional harm.
- Reduced Self-Worth: Hate speech can erode an individual's sense of self-worth, leading to feelings of inferiority and self-doubt.

Effects on Communities:

Hate speech doesn't just affect individuals; it also impacts the communities to which they belong:

¹⁹ Times Of India, *Hate posts on social media can affect mental health*, Times of India (Sept. 3, 2014), <https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/home/science/hate-posts-on-social-media-can-affect-mental-health/articleshow/41570101.cms>.

- **Marginalization:** Hate speech reinforces social hierarchies by marginalizing targeted communities and perpetuating stereotypes, making it difficult for them to participate fully in society.
- **Insecurity:** Communities exposed to hate speech may experience heightened feelings of insecurity, as they become uncertain about their safety and rights.
- **Polarization:** Hate speech can contribute to societal polarization by deepening divisions between groups, fostering animosity, and hindering constructive dialogue.
- **Collective Trauma:** Hate speech directed at specific communities can result in collective trauma, causing long-lasting emotional scars that are shared across generations.

MINORITY EXPERIENCES AND DISCRIMINATION IN INDIA

Religious and cultural minorities in India often bear the brunt of hate speech and discrimination, leading to significant challenges that impact their well-being and social integration. Understanding these experiences is crucial for fostering inclusivity and harmony within the diverse fabric of Indian society.²⁰

Religious and Cultural Diversity in India²¹:

India's rich tapestry comprises a multitude of religious and cultural communities, each with distinct practices and beliefs. However, this diversity also makes these minorities susceptible to prejudice and hate speech.

Instances of Discrimination: Hate speech against religious and cultural minorities in India takes various forms:

Communal Tensions: Hate speech can fuel communal tensions and contribute to conflicts between religious groups.

Stigmatization: Derogatory language and stereotypes can stigmatize minorities, reinforcing negative perceptions.

²⁰ *The Dalit: Born into a life of discrimination and stigma*, OHCHR (Apr. 19, 2021), <https://www.ohchr.org/en/stories/2021/04/dalit-born-life-discrimination-and-stigma>.

²¹ *Cultural Diversity News | Latest News on Cultural Diversity*, Times of India <https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/topic/cultural-diversity/news>.

Cultural Appropriation: Cultural minorities may face cultural appropriation, where their customs are taken out of context or exploited for profit.

Economic Exclusion: Discrimination can lead to economic exclusion, limiting access to jobs, education, and opportunities.

Implications of Discrimination:

The implications of hate speech and discrimination against religious and cultural minorities in India are far-reaching:

Violence and Displacement: Hate speech can escalate into violence and even lead to the displacement of minority communities.

Educational Barriers: Discrimination hampers access to quality education, limiting future prospects.

Economic Inequality: Economic disparities arise due to limited job opportunities and unequal access to resources.

Political Underrepresentation: Discrimination can lead to underrepresentation in political spheres and decision-making processes.

EDUCATION AND AWARENESS AS COUNTERMEASURES

Hate speech, with its divisive and damaging effects, demands effective countermeasures. This paper delves into the powerful roles that education and awareness campaigns play in addressing hate speech. By promoting tolerance and understanding, these measures contribute significantly to reducing the prevalence and impact of hate speech in society.²²

Understanding Hate Speech and its Impact: Hate speech is any form of communication that discriminates, degrades, or incites violence against a particular individual or group based on attributes such as race, religion, or ethnicity. Its impact goes beyond mere words, leading to

²² <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000382290>.

psychological distress, social isolation, and even violence.

Education as a Catalyst for Change: Education equips individuals with critical thinking skills and the ability to challenge preconceived notions. When integrated into curricula, education can expose students to diverse perspectives, encouraging empathy and understanding. Inclusive educational environments foster open discussions and reduce biases that fuel hate speech.

Awareness Campaigns and Their Reach: Awareness campaigns leverage various media platforms to disseminate messages of tolerance, respect, and empathy. These campaigns educate the public about the harmful consequences of hate speech, encouraging individuals to take a stand against it. The digital age allows awareness campaigns to reach a broader audience, fostering a culture of responsibility and vigilance.

Promoting Tolerance through Education: Educational institutions can actively promote tolerance by incorporating lessons on cultural diversity, human rights, and ethical communication. Through literature, history, and social studies, students learn about the consequences of discrimination and the importance of unity.

Building Digital Literacy: Digital literacy empowers individuals to navigate the online realm responsibly. Education can teach users to critically evaluate content, identify misinformation, and recognize hate speech. By promoting digital literacy, education reduces the spread of hateful narratives in online spaces.

Inclusive Education for Prevention: Inclusive education embraces the richness of diverse identities. By learning about different cultures, religions, and backgrounds, students develop an appreciation for the complexities of the human experience. This approach dismantles stereotypes and prejudices, acting as a preventative measure against hate speech.

Creating Safer Online Spaces: Awareness campaigns emphasize responsible online behavior and encourage users to report hate speech. Social media platforms can collaborate with these campaigns to develop algorithms that detect and flag hate speech, making online spaces safer for everyone.

Education and awareness campaigns serve as crucial tools in the fight against hate speech. By

nurturing tolerance, respect, and understanding, these initiatives empower individuals to reject discriminatory narratives and foster an environment of unity. The collective effort of educational institutions, media, civil society, and individuals can create a society where hate speech finds no fertile ground, ultimately leading to a more harmonious and inclusive world.

INTERNATIONAL COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

The legal framework in India to combat hate speech includes various provisions in the Indian Penal Code (IPC), which criminalize acts that promote enmity between different groups on the basis of religion, race, place of birth, residence, language, etc. Sections 153A, 295A, 298, and 505 of the IPC are relevant in this context. The Information Technology Act, 2000, also addresses hate speech in the online realm.

International Comparative Analysis:

Comparing hate speech issues in India with other countries requires considering the legal, cultural, and social contexts of each nation. Some well-known examples of countries dealing with hate speech issues include:

United States²³: The U.S. has a strong commitment to free speech under the First Amendment. This makes regulating hate speech more challenging, as there is a fine line between hate speech and protected speech. However, specific forms of hate speech that incite violence or pose a direct threat can be restricted.

Germany²⁴: Germany has stringent laws against hate speech due to its historical context. The country's NetzDG law mandates social media platforms to remove illegal hate speech within 24 hours or face significant fines. This has raised debates around free speech and the power of private companies to regulate expression.

United Kingdom²⁵: The UK has laws against hate speech, including the Public Order Act 1986 and the Communications Act 2003. However, there have been debates about striking a balance

²³ Dan Shefet, *Chapter 11: Hate Speech: A Comparative Analysis of the United States and Europe*, Regulating Cyber Technologies https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.1142/9781800612860_0012.

²⁴ <https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2720&context=ilj>.

²⁵ Siddharth Narrain, *Communal Violence: A Comparative Analysis Between India And United Kingdom*, Academia.edu (July 30, 2021),

https://www.academia.edu/50379701/COMMUNAL_VIOLENCE_A_COMPARATIVE_ANALYSIS_BETWEEN_INDIA_AND_UNITED_KINGDOM.

between free speech and preventing hate speech.

France: France has laws against hate speech that promotes discrimination, hatred, or violence based on race, religion, ethnicity, etc. The country has faced discussions about cultural sensitivities and the limits of free expression.

IMPACT ON SOCIAL HARMONY AND NATIONAL UNITY

The impact of hate speech on social harmony and national unity is significant and multifaceted. Hate speech can have far-reaching consequences that undermine the fabric of a society and create divisions among different groups. Here are some ways in which hate speech can affect social harmony and national unity:

1. Divisiveness and Polarization: Hate speech targets specific groups based on their identity, such as ethnicity, religion, race, or nationality. This can create a sense of "us versus them," leading to increased polarization and a breakdown of social cohesion. Divisive rhetoric can hinder constructive dialogue and cooperation between different communities.

2. Increased Tensions: Hate speech can escalate tensions between different groups, leading to conflicts, confrontations, and even violence. When hateful sentiments are inflamed, they can trigger hostile actions and deteriorate relationships among individuals and communities.

3. Marginalization and Discrimination: Hate speech perpetuates stereotypes, prejudices, and discriminatory attitudes. This can result in the marginalization and exclusion of certain groups from social, economic, and political activities. Discrimination can erode the sense of belonging and unity within a nation.

4. Undermining Trust: Hate speech undermines trust between different communities and the government. When people feel targeted by hate speech, they may lose faith in institutions that are meant to protect their rights and ensure their safety. This can lead to reduced civic engagement and cooperation.

5. Hindrance to Development: Societies that are divided by hate speech and tensions are less likely to focus on collective development and progress. Resources that could have been utilized for

constructive purposes are diverted to addressing conflicts and managing the aftermath of hate-fueled incidents.

6. Erosion of Cultural Diversity: Hate speech can lead to the erosion of cultural diversity by suppressing unique identities and contributions. When people from diverse backgrounds are marginalized or forced to assimilate due to hate speech, a nation loses the richness of its cultural tapestry.

7. Weakening of National Identity: Hate speech can fracture the sense of national identity by emphasizing divisions rather than shared values. A strong national identity is often built on the foundation of unity in diversity, and hate speech can undermine this fundamental principle.

8. Influence on Future Generations: Exposure to hate speech can shape the attitudes and beliefs of younger generations. If hate speech is normalized, it can perpetuate negative biases and stereotypes among young people, leading to the continuation of divisive attitudes.

Governments, civil society organizations, and individuals play crucial roles in addressing the impact of hate speech on social harmony and national unity. Promoting education, intergroup dialogue, and respectful communication are essential steps toward countering the negative effects of hate speech. By fostering an inclusive and tolerant environment, societies can work toward healing divisions and strengthening the bonds that hold them together.

CASE LAWS

Ram Manohar Lohia v. State of Bihar (1966)²⁶: In this case, the Supreme Court of India upheld the constitutional validity of a law that imposed restrictions on speech that had the potential to incite violence or disrupt public order. The court emphasized that freedom of speech is not absolute and can be restricted in the interest of public order.

S. Rangarajan v. P. Jagjivan Ram (1989)²⁷: This case dealt with the balance between freedom of speech and the need to regulate hate speech. The Supreme Court held that the power to restrict speech on the grounds of public order must be exercised reasonably and not arbitrarily. The court

²⁶ 1966 AIR 740, 1966 SCR (1) 709.

²⁷ 1989 SCR (2) 204, 1989 SCC (2) 574.

highlighted the importance of the "clear and present danger" test to determine if the speech posed an immediate threat.

Pravasi Bhalai Sangathan v. Union of India (2014)²⁸: The Supreme Court held that hate speech can have a chilling effect on free speech and can undermine the foundations of a democratic society. The court emphasized the need to strike a balance between protecting freedom of speech and preventing hate speech that can incite violence or disrupt social harmony.

Shreya Singhal v. Union of India (2015): This case focused on the constitutionality of Section 66A of the Information Technology Act, which criminalized online communication that was considered offensive or caused annoyance. The Supreme Court struck down the provision, stating that it was overly broad and could be used to curb legitimate speech.

Amish Devgan v. Union of India (2020)²⁹: In this case, the Delhi High Court restrained a news anchor from making defamatory and hateful remarks against a religious community. The court held that hate speech is not protected by freedom of speech and expression.

Munawar Faruqui Case (2021)³⁰: The case involving comedian Munawar Faruqui highlighted issues related to hate speech and artistic expression. While Faruqui was initially arrested for allegedly making offensive remarks about Hindu deities, the Supreme Court granted him bail, emphasizing the importance of free speech and the need for evidence to support hate speech allegations.

HATE SPEECH AND VIOLENCE DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC: THE TABLIGHI JAMAAT CASE

In India the spread of covid-19 was unreasonably and specifically associated with Muslims because a significant number of Tablighi Jamaat members were diagnosed with the disease. This association of covid-19 with Muslims occurred in an already charged atmosphere of xenophobic hatred. The discourse on the coronavirus pandemic morphed and superimposed itself on local

²⁸ AIR 2014 SC 1591.

²⁹ *Indiankanoon.Org*, <https://indiankanoon.org/doc/179868451/>.

³⁰ Times Of India, *Supreme Court sends all cases against comic Faruqui to Indore*, Times of India (Apr. 25, 2023), <https://m.timesofindia.com/india/supreme-court-sends-all-cases-against-comic-faruqui-to-indore/articleshow/99742557.cms>.

prejudices in India.³¹ This case study looks at the case of Tablighi Jamaat, the world's largest Muslim missionary organisation, and the hate mongering that caused the targeting of Muslims as primary carriers and spreaders of the coronavirus in India. The hate-filled coverage and comments targeting Tablighi Jamaat aimed at painting the whole Muslim community as the main carrier of the coronavirus and, further, Muslims were attributed as having done so intentionally as an act of 'Jihad' (holy war). The most perturbing thing about this event is that politicians across the entire political spectrum partook in criminalising the group and attributing malicious intentions to Tablighi Jamaat, and by extension giving ample space to the media and the central executive to target the community. This took place with the backdrop of a massive state failure to properly execute its lockdown plan because of which hundreds of thousands of labourers were stranded across interstate borders in India.

INCITEMENT AND MOBILISATION: MEDIUM AND MESSAGE IN THE DELHI RIOTS

Kapil Mishra and many other individuals also began issuing threats and polarising speeches over live broadcasts on social media. Among them, the case of Ragini Tiwari, a volunteer bjp worker, is pertinent.³² What is significant in this case is that other than just issuing speeches she joined the pro-CAA Hindu factions and began mobilisation on the ground, streaming it on live broadcast over Facebook. As with Kapil Mishra, she also is not mentioned in the charge-sheets of the Delhi police. Below is the transcript of one such broadcast from Maujpur in North-East Delhi wherein she calls for the mobilisation of Hindus and incites the crowd to violence:

"Aree Delhi Police lath Bajao Hum thumare Saath Hain. Aree mote mote lath bajao hum tumhare saath hain. Zaroorath padi toh hume bulao hum tumhare saath hain. Aree Kya hua? Kya Hua? Jo bi gaddar hai Kat dalo usko. Katt dalo. Ye Bheemti hai kya? Kon Hai be Tu? Boht Hua Sanatan par var. Ab nahi sahenge var. Arr par ki ladai, Sabhi sanatani bahar aao. Maro ya Mar dalo, badh mai dekhi jayegi. Boht hua. Khun na Khola Khun nahi wo pani hai."

[Translation] Delhi Police use your batons we [pro-CAA Hindu mobs] are with you. Use heavy batons we are with you. If you require then call upon us we are with you. What happened? What happened? Whoever the traitor is cut them down. Cut them down. Is she a Bheemti? [referring to a person of the lower caste who supports Ambedkar]. Who are you? Enough of the attacks on Sanatan [Hinduism]. No longer shall we suffer attacks. Come out all Sanatanis [i.e. Hindus]. This

³¹ Vaishna Roy, *Hate in the time of a pandemic*, Frontline (May 31, 2020), <https://frontline.thehindu.com/cover-story/hate-in-the-time-of-a-pandemic/article31657319.ece>.

³² Times Of India, *Delhi riots: 17,000-page chargesheet filed against 15 for conspiracy*, Times of India (Sept. 17, 2020), <https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/india/17000-page-chargesheet-filed-against-15-for-riots-conspiracy/articleshow/78158235.cms>.

is the fight for now or never. Kill or be Killed, whatever happens will see afterwards. Enough of this [tolerance]. Blood which doesn't boil is water.

In the video she openly advocates for violence and bloodshed, and goads the Delhi police to undertake violence. She also goads the mob on the basis of defence of Hindu religion. This is happening live and being broadcast on Facebook, and no action is taken by the administration to stop it or take into cognisance the effect this may have in further exacerbating the situation. All this is happening while she stands surrounded by paramilitary and reserve police personnel in full riot gear. It takes place on the very first day of violence on 23 February. Another video is captured by a journalist who records her in the act at one of the sites of violence in Delhi where she is seen repeating the same sentiments and hurling stones to stoke violence, which had apparently abated. The DMC report has noted the complicity and inaction of the Delhi police in the riots, and in its recommendations asked the government to make the Delhi police accountable. Given the mounting evidence and ample availability of these mobilisation videos covered in investigative reporting, the undefined contours of hate speech, and the targeting of minorities in these speeches, the anti-Muslim bias of law enforcement in Delhi represents a singular challenge to the provisions dealing with hate speech in the Indian Constitution. However, the only ray of hope seems to be the report commissioned by the dmc as it is a statutory body of the Delhi government, and the report may be used by courts in judgement of the case.

These cases provide insights into how Indian courts have interpreted hate speech in relation to constitutional freedoms and public order. It's important to note that hate speech laws and interpretations can evolve over time, so it's a good idea to consult recent legal resources for the most up-to-date information.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the discourse surrounding "Harmony in Peril: Unmasking the Threat Posed by Hate Speech in India" paints a comprehensive picture of the intricate web of challenges, consequences, and potential countermeasures associated with hate speech in the Indian context. As we navigate the complexities of hate speech, it becomes evident that its roots run deep within India's historical tapestry, often intertwined with communal tensions that have persisted over generations.

Defining hate speech within the Indian context requires a nuanced approach that takes into account

the country's diverse population and cultural mosaic. The interplay between hate speech and communal tensions is undeniable, as hate speech exacerbates existing fault lines and amplifies differences, often with devastating consequences. Instances where hate speech escalates into violence underscore the urgency of addressing this issue at both societal and legal levels.

India's legal framework against hate speech is a crucial step toward maintaining social harmony, yet it faces challenges that need careful consideration. Effectiveness and enforcement gaps necessitate a continual reevaluation of these laws to ensure that they evolve to meet the changing dynamics of hate speech.

Media's role as an amplifier of hate speech cannot be underestimated. While media serves as a platform for free expression, ethical standards must guide its coverage to prevent inadvertently fueling hate and animosity. The psychological impact of hate speech on individuals and communities is profound, highlighting the urgency of fostering a more inclusive, tolerant, and empathetic society.

The experiences of minority groups underscore the pervasive nature of discrimination in India. Creating an environment that values diversity, encourages dialogue, and promotes equality is essential to countering the divisive forces of hate speech. Education and awareness campaigns can serve as powerful tools to inoculate society against the poison of hate, fostering critical thinking and empathy among individuals.

International comparative analysis offers valuable insights into how different nations grapple with hate speech. Learning from these experiences can inform India's strategies to address hate speech while respecting freedom of expression.

Ultimately, the impact of hate speech on social harmony and national unity is a stark reminder of the responsibility that rests upon all stakeholders. By collectively recognizing the dangers posed by hate speech, we can work toward a more united, harmonious, and inclusive India. As the nation progresses, it must safeguard its cultural diversity and protect the dignity of all citizens. The journey to counter hate speech is a testament to India's resilience and its commitment to fostering a society where unity prevails over division, and where respect and understanding triumph over hatred.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

BOOKS

- Nadine Strossen, "*Hate: Why We Should Resist It with Free Speech, Not Censorship*" 55-60 (2018).
- Mari J Matsuda, "*Words That Wound: Critical Race Theory, Assaultive Speech, and the First Amendment*" 74-79 (1993).
- Jeremy Waldron, "*The Harm in Hate Speech*" 20-23 (2014).
- Michael Herz, "*The Content and Context of Hate Speech: Rethinking Regulation and Responses*" 54-59 (2012).

JOURNALS

- Dr. Dipali A Purohit, *Role of Judiciary on Hate Speech in India*, 6 Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research 4-7 (2019).
- Cecilia Jacob, '*Kill Two Million of Them*': *Institutionalised Hate Speech, Impunity and 21st Century Atrocities in India*, Global Responsibility to Protect 5-8 (2023).
- Samarth Mishra, *Balancing Freedom of Expression and Hate Speech: Case of India*, 9 Pramana Research Journal 1410-1415 (2019).

WEBSITES

- Anandita Yadav, (Apr. 16, 2019), <https://ili.ac.in/pdf/csi.pdf>.
- Zahid Maniyar, *An Indian law on hate speech: the contradictions and lack of conversation*, (Nov. 14, 2022), <https://cjp.org.in/an-indian-law-on-hate-speech-the-contradictions-and-lack-of-conversation/>.
- https://brill.com/view/journals/gr2p/15/2-3/article-p209_006.xml?language=en.
- Rachit Garg, *Hate speech in India - iPleaders*, IPleaders (Mar. 30, 2021), <https://blog.ipleaders.in/hate-speech-india/>.
- *Hate Speech: A contemplation in Indian Legal Regime*, <https://www.legalserviceindia.com/legal/article-5496-hate-speech-a-contemplation-in-indian-legal-regime.html>.